	Page 2298
1	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Okay. My
2	name is Bill Knowles-Kellet. I'm with the
3	Enforcement Bureau, Mr. Bond. And I don't
4	have a dog in the fight, but I have a few
5	questions.
6	THE WITNESS: Certainly.
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: I can arrange for
8	that, if you want.
9	(Laughter.)
10	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: It's the
11	first time I've ever been before Judge Sippel
12	without a dog in the fight.
13	(Laughter.)
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: Go ahead. You're
15	doing fine.
16	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Okay.
17	BY MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT:
18	Q So, you recently switched jobs,
19	sir?
20	A I did.
21	Q Okay. Is that so that you don't
22	get to come back to hearing

1 Α (Laughing.) I must confess, that 2 was one attractive feature. 3 Okay. I appreciate you going 4 through slowly the economics of the sports 5 tier. I just have a few more questions about 6 it. 7 Α Yes, sir. 8 I think, and I don't need precise 9 numbers, but we don't have anybody with 10 numbers here anyway, right? We're good. 11 Okay. 12 So, you said on the sports tier --13 - now, could you take a look, in front of you 14 should be the channel lineup exhibit, Tennis 15 Channel 100, look at the back end. 16 JUDGE SIPPEL: Those are all the 17 programs? 18 MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Yes. 19 THE WITNESS: Yes, I've got it. BY MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: 20 21 Okay. So, there are roughly a 22 dozen channels on the sports tier. Is that

Neal R. Gross & Co., Inc. 202-234-4433

Channel, and the -- just on the sports tier.

for the Tennis

21

22

If you take the

	rage 2501
1	A Yes, I understand your question,
2	and I'm looking at it. There are a couple on
3	here that are more expensive. I would say the
4	aggregate cost is north of, probably.
5	Q Okay. Between and
6	?
7	A Yes, sir. I think that's fair.
8	Q Okay. So, and then you get
9	approximately \$5 you said for subscribers to
10	the sports tier?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And you have something over
13	subscribers?
14	A Yes, sir, about
15	•
16	Q Okay. So, we're looking at
17	a month, and it costs you
18	a month, something of those lines?
19	A Yes, I think that's a good
20	approximation.
21	Q And putting this out to the
22	customers costs almost zero. Is that correct?

1 The cost beyond the license fees.

A Yes. There's some incremental marketing costs, and promotions that are run, and things of that sort. So, I'm sure there's some cost.

Q I think the -- are you familiar with the term "Consumer Surplus?"

A No.

Do Okay. One of the experts, and I believe it might have been your's, described consumer surplus as there are certain people who are not scared by the fees for the first two tiers. And what you'd really like to do is get -- if they want to pay more money to surf more channels, you want to give them that opportunity. Do you follow my definition?

A I do.

Q Okay. And he described this type of tiering as seeking to capture that consumer surplus.

A I see.

O Does that definition make sense to

1 you?

2 A Yes.

Q Okay. This is one of the really best games you can get into as a cable operator. Is that right?

A Well, the numbers, in terms of the overall business, there's many elements to the cable business, highspeed data, and video, and phone. But, certainly, this, the creation of this tier created an economic that did not exist, as you noted, north of a year. And before the creation of the sports tier, that money didn't exist. It wouldn't be out there.

Q And your testimony, I think, if I heard it correctly was, you heard that Time
Warner and Tennis Channel had sort of come up
with this idea, or somebody in Time Warner,
Tennis Channel among them, had come up with
this idea, and you said you want to be on that
wagon?

A That's correct.

Q Okay. Is it the case that Tennis
Channel is one of the real drivers of this
tier?

A Well, I don't know that I would go that far. I think Tennis certainly serves a unique niche in that there's not other tennis channels, tennis content on here. But the NFL is already also on here, the NFL Red Zone is very popular, Gold TV is very popular.

There's a fair amount of college product on here, college sports product, and that among another group of people is very popular.

I would imagine that a subscriber to this channel, my guess would be that most subscribers to this channel have one or two networks, or a handful of networks that they find personally appealing, but it's probably different for many of the subscribers.

Q Is it the case that, basically, soccer and tennis, though, are your year-round sports on this, so they really round it out nicely?

Q So, looking at the chart number back in the white book, the chart that Jennifer prepared for you --

19

20

21

22

of a judgment call. It would be difficult to

precisely measure it. The license fee

Well, it's -- that's really more

20

21

22

Α

attribute was very easy to calculate, and in this case the story was quite clear.

The issue that you're describing, the impact on the sports tier was more difficult to reduce to an amount of money.

So, that was, perhaps -- that was another element, but it wasn't included in those economics.

Q Does anybody, like SNL Kagan, or anybody do surveys about what the attractiveness in decision making by people regarding different channels?

A Yes, there is research that Kagan does that asks people to assign values to particular channels.

Q Okay.

A I don't know if Tennis Channel is part of that research, or not. But that's one data point that indicates consumer value.

Q Okay. So, the model -- Ken Solomon's expectation through this flexible agreement that you entered into in 2005, I

think the -- Ken Solomon's expectation was sort of, if you came up with a slick product that looked like the low channel numbers, that that's where Tennis Channel belonged. Is that correct?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Well, I think, as he described it Α to me, that the network before his arrival had done these sports tier deals. They did sports tier deals with Cox, they did a sports tier deal with us, they did a sports tier deal with Time Warner, that was the first one. They did other sports tier deals. And when he came on board, he thought that that was a mistake, so he did not like that kind of deal. So, he said the deals that he had done since he arrived were not sports tier deals. So, I think the distinction really was, he had a different point of view about the business model of Tennis Channel, and a different point of view about the sports tier. So, he wanted to see broader distribution.

Q He sort of had a different vision,

too, for the sports channel. Isn't it true that when the sports tier channels were done, Tennis Channel was a sports tier quality channel. Is that correct?

A Well, I -- if you look at the quality of the programming on the sports tier, I think there's some high quality programming on that tier now. But I think he was more -- and how I interpreted what he was saying, he was saying that that didn't -- he didn't feel he got enough subscribers from that. He wanted more subscribers. He wanted more money. He wanted more distribution.

Q Okay. Now, there's been a lot of testimony about satellite companies, and telecos, and cable companies. And the testimony has really indicated -- much of the testimony focused on competition between just the cable companies. Do you view the competition market as just between the cable companies?

A No, I view the competition -- for

using other technologies to deliver their
product?

A That's correct.

2.0

Q Okay. Is there substantial differences in what can be offered between the technologies?

A What can be offered, or what is offered?

Q I'll take either. Let's do one at a time. What can be offered?

They are different technologies.

The satellite providers are fully digital.

They have a one-way broadcast technology.

Terrestrial distribution providers have a two-way product. For a long period of time. Those services were a mixture of analog and digital, so they had less bandwidth capacity than satellite. That's changed in the last few years. Many cable companies are converting to full digital, so they're looking more like satellite in that regard, with the additional advantage of having two-way capability.

And then Verizon is really technically, essentially, a cable operator.

They basically put in fiber optic cable. And then AT&T is using a different technology to provide television service over their telephone lines.

Q Okay. Are there technical limitations on AT&T?

A Yes, I believe so. I'm not really an expert at this, but I think using the telephone lines introduce some limitations in terms of the amount and quality of programming. But they have a robust program offering, no doubt.

Q Okay. Is there a different focus between the satellite companies and Comcast in their offerings in terms of number of channels and price?

A Yes. I think it would be generally accurate to say that the satellite providers have typically offered more content; though, any differences have, I think, more or less

gone away over time.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

I think the competitors are somewhat slightly differently postured. DirecTV, for instance, positions itself as a premium product, I suppose one would say. And, specifically, directed at sports afficionados. They pay a very large price for the Sunday Ticket product. They really have positioned themselves as the sports choice in the marketplace among all the competitors. Dish Network is more of a value proposition. They have lower prices, and they have a different set of packages. Verizon and AT&T look more commonly like traditional cable. Okay. Do you lose customers to 0

Q Okay. Do you lose customers to the satellite companies because they offer more channels at a lower price?

A Yes, that can certainly happen.

Q Does that happen very regularly?

A Yes. Well, it's a competitive marketplace, so you compete based upon all number of attributes, product quality, number

of channels, customer service, technical addons. We also have the advantage of having a highspeed data product and a telephone product that the satellite providers don't have, so it's a very -- it's a complex value proposition for the customer. But, of course, price is an important consideration in that.

Q So, I think your testimony was you didn't have any experience with people leaving Comcast for the lack of the Tennis Channel, but you do have experience with people leaving Comcast for the lack of a slew of channels offered on the satellites.

A Well, in the case -- I think what I said earlier, we actually had the Tennis Channel. We had the right to carry Tennis Channel on the sports tier, so it would have been, perhaps, a different question if we were not carrying the Tennis Channel at all, or if that hadn't been made available to us. But we had the Tennis Channel, we had the ability to offer it to customers. So, we were offering

it to customers, the other distributors were offering it to customers. But your point is valid, if there were a number of channels that were not available on Comcast, that could certainly factor into a customer's decision.

Q So, I was just wondering if there was any way to measure the losses, if you do any -- you've indicated that you do customer surveys as people leave Comcast.

A Yes.

Q How many -- what percentage of people respond to those surveys?

A I'm really not in the know on exactly how that is done, that was done in the marketing group. But those -- so, I don't know what the frequency, or what percentage of people were touched there, but it is something that Comcast tried to understand, is why customers were leaving, and what was causing subscriber loss, or subscriber --

Q So, that data didn't get fed back to you?

	Page 2316
1	A No, no, not routinely.
2	Q Okay. Just a quick couple of
3	quick asides. One is, at page 2 of your
4	testimony, there's reference to an affiliation
5	agreement. And we've had a lot of testimony
6	about Comcast favoring its affiliated
7	channels. And I just want the record to be
8	clear that those are not the same thing. Is
9	that right?
10	A Yes, that's correct.
11	Q An affiliation agreement you sign
12	whenever you agree to carry a network?
13	A Yes, sir.
14	Q And an affiliated channel as been
15	used, is a channel in which Comcast owns
16	equity.
17	A Yes, sir.
18	Q Okay. I think that the testimony
19	was that in a number of systems, Comcast
20	doesn't carry the Tennis Channel at all, some
21	percent or less. Is that correct?

22

Α

Yes.

1 Q Is there a technical reason for 2 that?

A Probably, they would probably be smaller systems that don't even have a sports tier, don't have the channel capacity for the sports tier.

Q The only reason not to have a sports tier would be channel capacity. Right?

A I think so, yes.

Q Because otherwise it's just free money.

A Yes.

Q Okay. And in the systems where Comcast carries Sports Tier Jacksonville, systems where Comcast carries sports tier on the D1 level, or the D0 level, if there are any of those. I don't know if there was any testimony to that. Is that because of competition in that marketplace?

A I think that was a judgment that the Jacksonville system had made at the time, that because it's down in Florida, tennis is

popular in Florida, that Tennis Channel would be a nice product for the D1 level of service.

Q And the reason to do that, there would be two reasons as I understand. One would be customer satisfaction. Is that correct?

A Yes, sir.

Q So, that's retaining customers, and obtaining customers?

A Yes.

Q And the other reason would be because Dish and other competitor -- Dish, DirecTV, or other competitors are offering it on a low price value package, and you want to compete with those packages. Correct?

A Yes. I don't know that Tennis was necessarily on a lower priced tier, but it was really -- I think it was probably more about the first point, just a generalized customer appeal, or customer satisfaction, much in the way that you might find Hispanic programming more broadly distributed in the Miami system

relating to the distribution side of the NBC

I interact with him right now

20

21

22

Α

assets.

,		
		Page 2320
1	Q	You have to sell him your system.
2	А	Networks.
3	Q	Networks.
4	А	Yes, sir.
5	Q	I'm sorry. Thank you for the
6	correction.	
7	А	Yes.
8	Q	My question was garbage without
9	the correct:	ion.
10		(Laughter.)
11		BY MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT:
12	Q	So, do you talk to him every day?
13	А	No. No, I go ahead.
14	Q	How much influence do you have on
15	helping him	do his job?
16	А	None.
17	Q	He doesn't talk to you for advice
18	on how to do	o it?
19	А	No.
20	Q	Has he ever?
21	А	No, when he first started when we
22	   were transi	tioning before I had left Comcast,

1 we had a number of conversations about 2 organizational issues, because he was coming 3 into my organization, and he wanted to talk about my opinions of the employees in the 4 5 group, and how he should organize going 6 forward, and any changes that would be made. 7 And that happened really on a transitional basis. But my contact with him now really is 8 9 relating to the NBC products. 10 Okay. There was testimony I think 11 both from you and from Mr. Rigdon that ad 12 avails have very limited value because of your 13 inventory of ad minutes. 14 Yes. 15 Do you ever -- is ever there a 16 part of a package that doesn't come with ad 17 avails? 18 Α Other than the broadcast networks, 19 I think we have ad avails on all the cable 20 channels that we carry. 21 Is there a reason for that? 0 22 It's a standard industry practice.

I don't know when it started, probably from the beginning back in the 1980s. But it has been standard industry practice for cable channels to give two to three minutes an hour of advertising time to the distributors.

Q Does that allow you to promote your other programming during -- and reach the -- say you have big programming on Versus, it allows you to reach all the Tennis Channel viewers to get them to watch your marquis programming on Versus?

A Theoretically, that's not how that inventory is used.

Q Theoretically it's not?

A Yes.

Q What do you mean by

"theoretically?"

A Well, what you've described, I think theoretically could be done, but that isn't how that inventory is used.

Q Okay. Now, I understand. Could Versus buy that from -- would there be a

mechanism how Versus could buy the ad avails?

A I'm not really an ad sales person, but, generally, what happens is that advertising is sold by a group within Comcast, and they typically sell large packages of advertising across networks, and in certain spot markets. It's a very complicated business. I don't know if that thing you're describing, could Versus buy advertising just on Tennis Channel, if we insert it on Tennis Channel. First off, I don't think we do insert. I don't think Comcast does even insert on Tennis Channel, so it wouldn't even be technically possible.

Even though we have -- if I can back up. Even though we had the ad minutes, we have the right to insert ad minutes on Tennis Channel. I doubt we've actually invested in the equipment to do the insertion. So, I don't think technically we could even put advertising on Tennis in our systems.

Q Nobody has lost their job for

1 that?

A It's really -- that's very common. We have hundreds of channels, and only a certain percentage of them do we actually insert on. We have so much more inventory than we can sell, so you only invest in that insertion equipment among a certain core number of channels.

Q Okay. Do you have any -- there were a number of documents discussing the Versus idea to compete for U.S. Open rights. Do you recall those documents?

A I do.

Q Did you have any involvement with the negotiation for the U.S. Open rights?

A No.

Q Did you have any discussions with the programming side regarding competing for U.S. Open rights?

A No, other than the email I was on, I didn't.

Q You weren't at any meetings?

		Page 2325
1	1 A No, I don't red	call any discussion
2	2 of this.	
3	3 MR. KNOWLES-KEI	LETT: That's all I
4	4 have, Your Honor.	
5	5 JUDGE SIPPEL:	Thank you.
6	6 MR. KNOWLES-KEI	LETT: Thank you.
7	JUDGE SIPPEL:	Does anybody want
8	8 to pick up on that?	
9	9 MR. CARROLL: I	have only two
10	10 questions.	
11	JUDGE SIPPEL:	Yes, sir.
12	12 REDIRECT EXAM	MINATION
13	BY MR. CARROLL:	
14	Q Do you still ha	ave
15	MR. CARROLL: M	May I, Your Honor?
16	JUDGE SIPPEL:	Yes, sir, please.
17	MR. CARROLL: T	hank you.
18	BY MR. CARROLL:	
19	19 Q Mr. Bond, I thi	nk we're almost
20	20 done. Do you have the char	nnel guide exhibit?
21	21 Once again, I think it's Te	ennis Channel
22	Exhibit 100.	

	Page 2326
1	A I do.
2	Q And you were just being asked once
3	again about the sports tier channel numbers
4	that are in the 700s there.
5	A Yes.
6	Q Mr. Phillips asked you about the
7	Tennis Channel channel number, which is 735.
8	Correct?
9	A Yes, sir.
10	Q So, here are my questions. First,
11	your contract with Tennis Channel, existing
12	contract that dates back to 2005, does it have
13	any provision in it that gives Tennis Channel
14	the right to any particular channel number?
15	A No.
16	Q And over all the years of the
17	agreement while you were on the Comcast cable
18	side, was there ever a demand Tennis Channel
19	made to change its channel number?
20	A No.
21	MR. CARROLL: No further

questions, Your Honor.

22

		Page 2327
1		JUDGE SIPPEL: All right.
2		MR. PHILLIPS: I've just got one,
3	Your Honor.	
4		JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, Mr. Phillips,
5	go ahead.	
6		RECROSS-EXAMINATION
7		BY MR. PHILLIPS:
8	Q	Mr. Bond, NFL network that you
9	mentioned i	n the sports tier
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	that's dual illuminated, isn't
12	it?	
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	So, it's also found down at like
15	Channel 248	, something 246.
16	А	Yes.
17	Q	And at Channel 180?
18	А	There it is. That's the HD.
19	Q	Channel 180 on the digital
20	starter? Pa	ge 5 of 9.
21	A	Thank you. Yes.
22	Q	Okay. And dual illuminated means

Page 2328 1 that it's carried both in the sports tier, and 2 on the lower more broadly distributed tier. 3 Right? 4 Α Yes. 5 MR. PHILLIPS: Thank you. JUDGE SIPPEL: Is there anybody? 6 7 I just want to ask, just for identification. I'm just looking for the -- identify a person, 8 9 please, for me. Ann Micka? 10 THE WITNESS: Ann Micka works for 11 Joe Donnelly. 12 JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. And is she, basically, a numbers person? 13 14 THE WITNESS: I believe so. 15 JUDGE SIPPEL: That's all I have. We're all set? We may not see Mr. Bond for 16 17 another two years. 18 (Laughter.) 19 THE WITNESS: Well, hopefully, in 20 more congenial circumstances, that would be 21 nice.

You're excused as a

JUDGE SIPPEL:

22

	Page 2329
1	witness. Thank you very much.
2	THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: Have a good trip
4	back.
5	THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your
6	Honor.
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: We're going to meet
8	at 9:30 on Monday. I guess that's it. Let's
9	go off the record.
10	(Whereupon, the proceedings went
11	off the record at 5:42 p.m.)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
1,7	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	